

## **POLICY 504 – STUDENT DRESS AND APPEARANCE**

---

- I. PURPOSE  
The purpose of this policy is to enhance the education of students by establishing expectations of dress and grooming that are related to educational goals and community standards.
- II. GENERAL STATEMENT OF POLICY
  - A. The responsibility for the appearance of the student rests with the parent/guardian and student. They have the right to determine student dress providing that the articles of attire are not destructive to school property, comply with requirements for health and safety, do not offend community standards, and in general do not interfere with the educational process.
  - B. The building administration has the authority to determine whether student attire is destructive to school property, fails to comply with requirements for health and safety, offends community standards or interferes with the educational process.
- III. OTHER REQUIREMENTS
  - A. Students taking certain classes may be required to wear specified clothing for health and/or safety reasons.
  - B. Every student will wear eye protection devices when participating in, observing, or performing and function in connection with any course or activity taking place in the eye protection areas.

Policy Revised: 11/22/16

Policy 504 Adopted: 12/7/99 (formerly Policy 5213)

Policy Revised: 6/4/91

Policy Revised: 5/1/84

Policy Adopted: 2/17/69

### **Legal Reference:**

United States Constitution, Amendment 1

*Tinker v. Des Moines Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 393 U.S. 503, 89 S.Ct. 733, 21 L.Ed.2d 731 (1969)

*B.W.A. v. Farmington R-7 Sch. Dist.*, 554 F.3d 734 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009)

*Lowry v. Watson Chapel Sch. Dist.*, 540 F.3d 752 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008)

*Stephenson v. Davenport Cmty. Sch. Dist.*, 110 F.3d 1303 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997)

*D.B. ex rel. Brogdon v. Lafon*, 217 Fed. App. 518 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2007)

*Madrid v. Anthony*, 510 F.Supp.2d 425 (S.D. Tex. 2007)

*Hicks v. Halifax County Bd. Of Educ.*, 93 F.Supp.2d 649 (E.D.N.C. 1999)

*McIntire v. Bethel School, Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 3*, 804 F. Supp. 1415 (W.D. Okla. 1992)

*Olesen v. Bd. Of Educ. Of Sch. Dist. No. 228*, 676 F. Supp. 820 (N.D. Ill. 1987)

M.S. 121A.32 – Eye Protection Devices

School Board

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT 279

Maple Grove, Minnesota